

Gender Stereotyping Among School-Going Female and Male Adolescents: A Cross-Sectional Study in the Rural Area of Western Maharashtra, India.

Vivek Baliram Waghachavare ^{*1} , Girish Bhimrao Dhumale ¹, Jitesh Hanmantrao Kadam ¹

1. Department of Community Medicine, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University) Medical College & Hospital, Sangli

ARTICLE INFO

Original Article

Received: 17 November 2020

Accepted: 1 February 2021



Corresponding Author:

Vivek Baliram Waghachavare
vivek416416@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Gender stereotyping is the generalized and ambiguous impression of an individual's roles in society based on one's gender, remarkably difficult to abandon. These biases play an important role in vocational choices. The aim of the current research was to study attitudes towards women, gender stereotyping, and gender biases among adolescent boys and girls from a rural area.

Methods: It was a cross-sectional study conducted from Sept. 2016 to Aug. 2017 among rural school-going adolescents. A total of 826 samples were included in the study with convenience multi-stage sampling. Statistical analysis was done using descriptive statistics, chi-square test, and Mann-Whitney U test. The data entry and analysis were performed using MS Excel and SPSS-22 with 5 % significant level.

Results: The mean age of 826 participants was 13.99 years with 297 (36%) being males. A higher percentage of the participants had a positive attitude towards females (83.9%) as well as a positive attitude towards crime against women (79.1%). However, gender stereotyping (54.6%) and male bias (58.6%) were present in the majority among them. There was a significant correlation between all the scales and gender ($p < 0.05$); with better attitudes among females.

Conclusion: Although the overall attitude towards females was better in adolescents, gender bias remains an important problem.

Keywords: Adolescents, Gender bias, Attitudes, Stereotyping, India

How to cite this paper:

Waghachavare V B, Dhumale G B, Kadam J H. Gender Stereotyping Among School-Going Female and Male Adolescents: A Cross-Sectional Study in the Rural Area of Western Maharashtra, India. J Community Health Research 2021; 10(1): 4-11.

Copyright: ©2021 The Author(s); Published by Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) by the U.S. National Library of Medicine defines, Gender discrimination, or sexism, as “Prejudice or discrimination based on gender or behavior or attitudes that foster stereotyped social roles based on gender.” (1). While the word stereotyping is defined according MeSH as “An oversimplified perception or conception especially of persons, social groups, etc.”(2). While ‘Gender stereotyping’ is a broad category that reflects our impression and beliefs about females and males, they originate from gender roles that define the responsibilities of females and males and remarkably difficult to abandon. Classically, roles such as taking care of children and cooking are attached to females, while males are identified with roles such as engaging in paid employment (3). Even in the current scenario urban women tend to be more interested in humanitarian, educational, and medical fields; while males are more attracted to defense, sports, and technical fields (4).

There is apathy towards involving women in important roles in society and huge bias against the existing (5). The Equal Opportunities Commission (EOC) (2005) states that not only society but also females themselves avoid getting into various skilled jobs due to rampant prejudices (6). Mapfumo, Chireshe & Munhuweyi (2002) from Zimbabwe observed that both males and females choose their careers under stereotyping perception (7). The study conducted by McQuaid and Bond (2004) shows that many girls in Scotland had negative attitudes to work in jobs perceived to be masculine (8). In Egypt and other countries with traditional cultures, females have fewer opportunities to reach higher positions at workplaces (9). Furthermore, the role of gender stereotyping on career choices was also observed in the UK (10).

Violence and aggression are enforced by men towards women. The issue is deemed as a shadow pandemic (11). Basar and Demirci (2018) conducted a study among Turkish women and observed that 41.3% of the study participants had

experienced domestic violence (12). A survey conducted in the USA estimated that 19.3%, 15.3%, and 43.9% of women have experienced rape, stalking, and other forms of sexual violence respectively during their lifetimes (13). Many consider ‘Violence Against Women’ (VAW) as an important challenge to the overall health and social development in India (14). The roots of this psyche can be traced to the bringing up of the boys in the families and societies stressing gender-specific roles in society and also gender-specific freedom even in trivial issues like clothes (15).

Adolescence (10–19 years) is a critical period of rapid physical and psychosocial changes (16). Global data indicate that gender norms are commonly reflected in adolescents’ gender attitudes. For example, population-based surveys in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) indicate that over half of late adolescents justify wife-beating (17). There is an increase in the crimes against women committed by juveniles, including rape. Many juveniles blame women’s behaviour for getting raped (18). Law cannot provide much deterrence as the punishments for juvenile criminals are understandably not the most severe (19). It is important to address gender attitudes in early adolescences before their beliefs become more solidified (20, 21). It is observed that diminishing gender inequality in a community results in reduced violence against women (22). Involving adolescents along with adults in gender-sensitive training and in developing response against violence is considered by experts as need of the hour (23).

Very few studies have assessed the attitude of Indian males towards females and studies concerning adolescents are further rare. As today’s adolescents are tomorrow’s adults, it is essential to cultivate a positive gender attitude in them as it will go a long way in the development of gender tolerance in our society. The aim of the current research was to understand the attitude towards women, gender stereotyping, and gender biases among adolescent boys and girls from a

rural area of Maharashtra, India.

Methods

It was a cross-sectional study conducted using multi-stage sampling among the adolescents studying in private and government schools in the rural area of Sangli district, Western Maharashtra. The study was questionnaire-based and was conducted for a period of 12 months, i.e., Sept. 2016 to Aug. 2017. World Health Organization defines the phase of adolescence between 10 years to 19 years. Considering the schooling pattern in India generally, the school-going children from 5th standard to 12th standard belong to this age. However, most of the institutions' 11th and 12th standards are separate and not attached to a school. Therefore, it was decided to consider students from 5th to 10th standards for the study. However, considering the cultural background, general maturity, and sensibilities of people it was decided to include students from the 8th, 9th, and 10th standards only. Hence, the study population was adolescents studying in 8th, 9th, and 10th standard from the schools in Sangli district.

It was further decided to include the schools from the field practice area of Rural Health Training Center, Turchi, belonging to Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University) Medical College & Hospital, Sangli (MH), India. This decision was taken for better follow-up, cooperation, and feasibility. After the requisite for ethical clearance from the institutional ethical committee and permissions from school authorities, and all the students getting the written consent from their parents were included in the study. In a similar study conducted, the observed percentage of positive attitude among adolescent males was 88.3% (24). Hence, the calculated minimum sample size was 360 (prevalence of positive attitude = 88.3% i.e., $p = 0.883$, hence $q = 0.117$, level of significance = 1%, $\alpha = 0.05$ i.e., $Z_{\alpha} = 2.58$, allowable error is 5%, $d = 0.05$. The sample size, $n = \frac{Z_{\alpha}^2 pq}{d^2 p^2} = \frac{(2.58)^2 \times 0.883 \times 0.117}{(0.05)^2 \times (0.883)^2} = 352.8 \cong 360$). Considering 30 students in each class and

correction for drop-out, it was decided to include five schools in the study selected randomly from the schools in the field practice area. Out of the selected schools, two were co-education and three schools were for females only. All the available participants from these schools were included in the study, hence the actual samples included in the final analysis (after the rejection of incomplete questionnaires) were 826 (297 males and 529 females).

The study tool was a pre-designed, self-administered questionnaire. The questionnaire had five sections with Section-I had socio-demographic variables like age, residence, etc. Sections II, III, and IV consisted of a locally pre-validated gender stereotyping scale, Attitudes toward Women Scale for Adolescents (AWSA), and attitude towards crime against women respectively (24, 25, 26). These scales had been previously used in a similar study, in the same geographical area and shown to have a good internal consistency and test-retest reliability.

Section V had questions regarding attitude towards men (male bias). It was developed with the help of field experts and published literature. Each question in the 17- items scale could be answered with a five-point Likert scale. For most of the questions, points considered were from 1 to 5 for an answer starting from strongly disagree to strongly agree respectively. The questions consisted of statements showing male bias, such as “males are better drivers”, “males should not cook”, “women should look after children”. Total points were between 0 to 85 and scores of ≤ 42 were considered as ‘Minimal Male Bias’ and scores ≥ 43 were considered as ‘Strong Male Bias’. The scale had a good internal consistency (Cronbach's α , $r = 0.62$) and test-retest reliability (Spearman correlation coefficient, $r = 0.71$).

An evaluation was done based on the answers given by the students. Statistical Analysis was done using descriptive statistics, chi-square test, and Mann-Whitney U test. The data entry and analysis were performed using MS Excel 2016 and SPSS-22.

Results

The mean (SD) age of participants was 13.99 (0.98) and 297 (36%) were males. Seven hundred sixty-three (92.4%) were Hindu/Jain and 63 (7.6%) were Muslim. Seven hundred forty-five (90.2%) resided in the rural areas, whereas 81 (9.8%) correspondents resided in urban areas. Four hundred and nine (49.5%) participants lived in a joint family and 417 (50.5%) had a nuclear family.

Considering the occupation of the father of each participant, the majority 550 (66.6%) were farmers, followed by 232 service/businessmen (28.1%), and 44 (5.3%) were labourers. Mothers of the majority of the participants were homemakers 709 (85.8%), followed by 68 (8.2%) farmers and 49 (5.9%) servicewomen. While fathers of 617 (74.7%) participants were highschool educated, 146 (17.7%) were college-educated, and 63 (7.6%) were primary educated. It was reported that mothers of majority students, i.e. 673 (81.5%) had a high school education, whereas mothers of 73 (8.8%) participants had studied in a college and 80 (9.7%) had studied only up to the primary stage. It was reported that mothers of 800 (96.9%) participants were involved in decision making whereas mothers of 26 (3.1%) participants had no say in decision making.

Out of the 826 participants, 124 (15%) had a strong positive attitude towards women and 569 (68.9%) had a positive attitude, while 133 (16.1%) had a negative attitude. A negative attitude towards women was observed in 93 (31.3%) males as compared to only 40 (7.6%) female participants. Overall, a positive attitude had an increasing trend with age.

We observed minimal to no stereotyping in 375 (45.4%), moderate stereotyping in 357 (43.2%), and severe stereotyping in 94 (11.4%)

participants. A higher percentage of females had minimal to no stereotyping 261 (49.3%) as compared to males 114 (38.4%). Considering religion, minimal to no stereotyping was higher in Hindu/Jain participants as well as residents of rural areas.

Considering crime against women, 653 (79.1%) had a positive attitude and 173 (20.9%) had a negative attitude. As far as gender difference was considered, positive attitude was more common among females 456 (86.2%) than males 197 (66.3%). A strong positive attitude towards crime against women was observed in a higher percentage of rural residents as compared to urban (80.3% vs 67.9%). A negative attitude was the highest among participants with labourer fathers 11 (25%).

Strong male bias was observed in 484 (58.6%) participants, while minimal male bias was noted in 342 (41.4%) participants. The majority of males i.e., 226 (76.1%) displayed strong male bias as compared to 258 (48.8%). A higher percentage of females disagreed with each of the 17 questions as compared to males. More than 60% of male participants agreed that males are generally more knowledgeable than females, and more than 50% agreed that males are better drivers, better athletes, more truthful, and decisive than females. The majority of female participants did not agree to any of the statements. Contrarily, less than 20% agreed to the statements like men were stronger and wiser. The remaining statements were agreed by 20 to 40% of female participants. Overall, a higher percentage of respondents from joint families had strong male bias as compared to those from nuclear families (63.6% vs 53.7%).

All four scores were statistically associated with gender.

Table 1. Relation of gender with various scales

<i>Scales</i>	<i>Gender</i>	<i>Median (Interquartile range)</i>	<i>P</i>
Attitude towards women score	Male (n=297)	70 (63, 77)	<0.001
	Female (n=529)		
Stereotyping score	Male (n=297)	10 (7, 12)	0.004
	Female (n=529)		
Attitude towards crime against women score	Male (n=297)	41 (35, 45)	<0.001
	Female (n=529)		
Attitude towards men score	Male (n=297)	47 (36, 57)	<0.001
	Female (n=529)		

Stereotyping score and attitude towards crime against women score were associated with residence (Table 2).

The association of interpretation of all the scores with various parameters was calculated using the chi-square test. We observed a statistically significant association of the attitude towards females with gender, age group, and father's

education. Similarly, there was an association between the gender stereotyping scale with gender, religion, and residence. Attitude towards crime against women was statistically associated with gender, residence, and father's occupation.

We observed a statistically significant association of attitude towards males with gender and type of family.

Table 2. Relation of residence with various scales

<i>Scales</i>	<i>Residence</i>	<i>Median (Interquartile range)</i>	<i>P</i>
Attitude towards women score	Urban (n=81)	70 (63, 77)	0.495
	Rural (n=745)		
Stereotyping score	Urban (n=81)	10 (7, 12)	0.007
	Rural (n=745)		
Attitude towards crime against women score	Urban (n=81)	41 (35, 45)	0.023
	Rural (n=745)		
Attitude towards men score	Urban (n=81)	47 (36, 57)	0.921
	Rural (n=745)		

Table 3. Association (p-value) between all the scores and various parameters

<i>Parameters</i>	<i>Attitude towards females</i>	<i>Gender-related stereotyping</i>	<i>Attitude towards crime against women</i>	<i>Attitude towards males</i>
Gender	<0.001	0.010	0.000	<0.001
Age Group	0.036	0.260	0.764	0.138
Religion	0.221	0.033	0.366	0.187
Residence	0.766	0.034	0.012	0.139
Type of family	0.078	0.427	0.952	0.001
Father's occupation	0.718	0.390	0.031	0.723
Mother's occupation	0.940	0.667	0.684	0.937
Father's education	0.006	0.436	0.756	0.124
Mother's education	0.051	0.830	0.495	0.651

Discussion

Overall, 83.89% of the adolescents had a positive attitude towards females (15% had a strongly positive attitude and 68.89% had a positive attitude). Considering gender difference, 68.7% of males and 92.4% of female adolescents had a positive attitude. In a study conducted in UAE, it was observed that the overall attitude score was poor for both males and females. This difference in the results of the studies can be attributed to socio-cultural differences in the study settings. On the other hand, both the studies reached similar conclusions that females had a better attitude as compared to males (27).

Similarly, we observed moderate to severe gender stereotyping in 54.6% of adolescents (61.6% males and 51.7% females). A Canadian study accepted the widespread presence of occupation-related gender stereotyping among adolescents, with preponderance among males (28).

A positive attitude towards crime against women was reported in 79.1% of adolescents (86.2% females and 66.3% males). A higher percentage of female participants had a positive attitude. Similar observations were made by Basar, Demirci, Cicek and Yesildere Saglam (2019) in Turkey with higher percentages of females displaying a positive attitude regarding violence against women (29).

Male bias was prevalent in the study sample (57.9%), with the majority being male adolescents (76.1%) but a considerable number of female adolescents (48.8%).

In a previous study from Sangli district (Maharashtra), a positive attitude towards females was reported among 60.35% of adolescent boys (24). Moderate to severe gender stereotyping was reported in 64.5% of participants and a positive attitude towards crime against women was reported in 57.5%. We had a higher percentage of positive attitude across the three categories. However, the majority of the participants in our study were females. When these results we compared with only male participants of our study the difference was less, but still better across the

board. The variation in results might be attributed to sampling or differences in the study period as the current focus in media and the trending culture about this issue may be responsible for some attitude change.

Conclusions

We observed an overall positive attitude towards females and crimes against women among adolescents in the present research. Gender stereotyping and strong male bias was exhibited in the majority of adolescents. As expected, female adolescents had an overall better attitude in all the four considered aspects. Surprisingly, male bias and stereotyping were very high in these female adolescents as well. This perception may hamper their future decision-making, career choice, and overall role in society. Adolescents in urban areas were more accepting of crime against women, while those in joint families were prone to male bias. Father's education and overall social status also played an important role in their attitude, prompting the fact that the attitude of fathers plays an important role in the behavioral development of adolescents. It also depicts that family upbringing instills these values.

In a conclusion, it is necessary to make active efforts in schools to promote gender equality. Special attention has to be given to male adolescents to cultivate a better attitude towards females and to abandon stereotyping and male biases. Adolescents should be explained with examples to reduce occupation-related gender stereotyping. This may help them in aspiring for better career opportunities. If possible, parents, especially fathers of adolescents should be sensitized for developing desirable attitudes since family support goes long way in developing them.

Limitations

The present research suffers from certain drawbacks such as the use of a self-reported questionnaire-based study and no authenticated mechanism to verify the answers. Moreover, intervening factors like current psychological states, effects of any current affairs, personal

experiences, etc. were not considered in the study.

Conflict of Interest

None to declare.

Author contribution

All the authors had contributed in planning, data collection, interpretation of data and

finalization of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all the participants and the permitting schools for their time and efforts.

References

1. Sexism. In Medical Subjects Headings (MeSH). U.S. National Library of Medicine. 2013. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/?term=Sexism>
2. Stereotype. In Medical Subjects Headings (MeSH). U.S. National Library of Medicine. 1981. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/?term=Stereotyping>.
3. Archer J, Lloyd B. Sex and Gender (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press. 2002.
4. Joshi P, Audichya S. Factors and Influences on Urban Adolescents Career Choices: A Qualitative Study. International Research Journal of Social Sciences. 2017; 6(6): 10–14.
5. Gulhati K. Attitudes towards Women Managers: Comparison of Attitudes of Male and Female Managers in India. Economic and Political Weekly. 1990; 25(7–8): M41–M48.
6. Women and Work Commission - TUC Submission. Trades Union Congress, London. 2005. Available from: <https://www.tuc.org.uk/research-analysis/reports/women-and-work-commission-tuc-submission>.
7. Mapfumo J, Chireshe R, Munhuweyi P. Career Perceptions and Visions of Boys and Girls in Secondary Schools in Zimbabwe: Some Implications for Teachers and Parents. Zambezia. The Journal of Humanities of the University of Zimbabwe. 2002; 29(2): 156–173. Available from: <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/zjh/article/view/6727>.
8. McQuaid R, Bond S. Gender Stereotyping In Career Choice Research Project. Employment Research Institute and Careers Scotland. 2004.
9. Bandura A. Social Foundations of Thought and Action: A Social Cognitive Theory (1st ed.). Prentice Hall. 1985.
10. Powell A, Dainty A, Bagilhole B. Gender Stereotypes among Women Engineering and Technology Students in The UK: Lessons From Career Choice Narratives. European Journal of Engineering Education. 2012; 37(6): 541–556.
11. UN Women. Violence Against Women and Girls: The Shadow Pandemic [Press release]. 2020. Available from: <https://www.unwomen.org/en/news/stories/2020/4/statement-ed-phumzile-violence-against-women-during-pandemic>.
12. Basar F, Demirci N. Domestic Violence against Women in Turkey. Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences. 2018; 34(3): 660–665.
13. Breiding MJ, Smith SG, Basile KC, et al. Prevalence and Characteristics of Sexual Violence, Stalking, and Intimate Partner Violence Victimization—National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey, United States, 2011. American Journal of Public Health. 2015; 105(4): e11–e12.
14. Bohra N, Sharma I, Srivastava S, et al. Violence against Women. Indian Journal of Psychiatry. 2015; 57(2): 333.
15. Boyle MH, Georgiades K, Cullen J, et al. Community Influences on Intimate Partner Violence in India: Women's Education, Attitudes Towards Mistreatment and Standards of Living. Social Science & Medicine. 2009; 69(5): 691–697.
16. Blum RW, Bastos FIPM, Kabiru CW, et al. (2012). Adolescent health in the 21st century. The Lancet. 2012; 379(9826): 1567–1568.
17. Cappa C, Wardlaw T, Langevin-Falcon C, et al. Progress for children: a Report Card on Adolescents. Lancet (London, England). 2012; 379(9834): 2323–2325.
18. Lower age of Juveniles for justice to victims. The New Indian Express. 2013. Available from: <https://www.newindianexpress.com/opinions/editorials/2013/sep/18/Lower-age-of-juveniles-for-justice-to-victims-517549.html>
19. Bajpai GS. Juvenile Justice: Impact and Implementation in India. Bloomsbury India. 2019.
20. Igras SM, Macieira M, Murphy E, et al. Investing in Very Young Adolescents' Sexual and Reproductive Health.

- Global Public Health. 2014; 9(5): 555–569.
21. World Health Organization. The Sexual and Reproductive Health of Younger Adolescents in Developing Countries: Research Issues in Developing Countries: Background Paper for Consultation. World Health Organization. 2011.
 22. Redding EM, Ruiz-Cantero MT, Fernández-Sáez J, et al. Gender Inequality and Violence against Women in Spain, 2006-2014: Towards a Civilized Society. *Gaceta Sanitaria*. 2017; 31(2), 82–88.
 23. Nanda B, Ray N, Mukherjee R. Son Preference, Security Concerns and Crime against Women: Expanding the Public Health Discourse in India. *Indian Journal of Public Health*. 2020; 64(2): 204–206.
 24. Waghachavare VB, Prabhu PM, Dhumale GB, et al. (2017). Attitude of Adolescent Boys towards Females: An Urban-Rural Comparison from Sangli District (MH) of India. *National Journal of Research in Community Medicine*. 2017; 6(3): 243–248.
 25. Galambos NL, Petersen AC, Richards M, et al. (1985). The Attitudes Toward Women Scale for Adolescents (AWSA): A Study of Reliability and Validity. *Sex Roles*. 1985; 13(5-6): 343–56.
 26. Dahlberg LL, Toal SB, Swahn MH, et al. (2005). Measuring Violence-Related Attitudes, Behaviors, and Influences Among Youths: A Compendium of Assessment Tools (2nd ed.) [E-book]. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Atlanta, Georgia. 2005. https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pdf/yv_compendium.pdf
 27. Alibeli MA. Gender and Attitudes toward Women in the United Arab Emirates, Perspectives on Global Development and Technology. 2015; 14(1-2): 109-125.
 28. Morrison T G, Bell EM, Morrison MA, et al. (1994). An Examination of Adolescents' Salary Expectations and Gender-Based Occupational Stereotyping. *Youth & Society*. 1994; 26(2): 178–193.
 29. Basar F, Demirci N, Cicek S, et al. (2019). Attitudes Toward Violence Against Women and the Factors That Affect Them in Kutahya, Turkey. *African journal of reproductive health*. 2019; 23(1): 16–26.