Original Article

Adsorption of lead by Microalgae Chaetoceros Sp. and Chlorella Sp. from Aqueous Solution

Parvin Molazadeh[1], Narges Khanjani[2], Mohammad Reza Rahimi[3], Alireza Nasiri[*4]

[1] Department of Environmental Health, School of Public Health, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran

[2]Department of Biostatistics & Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Kerman University of Medical Sciences,Kerman,Iran

[3]Unit of English Language, Department of Education, Kerman, Iran

[4]Environmental Health Engineering Research Center, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran

Received: 2015/3/26 **Accepted:** 2015/8/14

Abstract

Introduction: Using bio-sorbents is regarded as one of the effective methods to remove heavy metals. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate Pbadsorption from contaminated water by *Chaetoceros sp*. and *Chlorella sp*. algae.

Materials &Methods: This experimental study was conducted in a batch reactor. The amount of Pbadsorption by *Chaetoceros sp*. and *Chlorella sp*. algae was studied under such conditions as different adsorbent dosages (0.2, 0.5, 1.5 and 2 g/L), different pH (3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8), different temperatures (20, 25, 30, 35, 40 °C), different contact times (30, 60, 90, 180, 360 minutes), different particle sizes (20, 40, 60, 100, 140 mesh) and different Pb concentrations(20, 40, 60 mg/L). Then adsorption isotherms and kinetic models of metal ions were determined based on Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms as well as first and second order kinetic models.

Results: The efficiency of Pb removal by *Chlorella sp*. and *Chaetoceros sp*. algae was reported 78% and 60% respectively. The maximum adsorption was observed at optimal conditions including 25°C, pH of 6, 180 minutesof contact time, particle size of 20 mesh, 1.5 gr/L of adsorbent and 20 mg/L concentration of Pb.*Chlorella* algae was observed to remove Pb more than *Chaetoceros* algae. Based on the study results, Pbadsorption by *Chlorella* algae complies with Langmuir adsorption isotherm model, whereas *Chaetoceros* algae follows Freundlich model. The kinetic models of algae adsorption followed a second order equation.

Conclusion: The study findings revealed that *Chlorella* algae can be effectively used in order to adsorb Pb from contaminated water due to its high efficiency of Pb adsorption.

Keywords: Adsorption; Algae; Chaetoceros sp; Chlorella sp; Pb

[*] **Corresponding author;Tel:** +983431325128**E-mail:**ARNasiri@kmu.ac.ir&Nasiri_a62@yahoo.com

[Downloaded from jhr.ssu.ac.ir on 2026-10-09]

Introduction

Heavy metals are components of the earth's crust. The most important aspect that distinguishes heavy metals from other dangerous wastes is their non-degradability. Therefore, to avoid environmental damage, it is necessary to prevent their discharge into running water. Lead is a heavy metal used in armaments, cosmetics, paint, battery making industries and building materials[1].Generally, water is one of the main sources contaminated by lead. Continuous adsorption of this element through various ways can cause such physical injuries as renal impairment[2].The standards set by the Environmental Protection Agency and the World Health Organization for discharging wastewater into the environment is 0.05 mg/L. Leadcan be purified applying various techniques such as deposition in an alkaline environment and adsorption bynatural and artificial adsorbents [3].Some algae can adsorb heavy metal ions and organic pollutants from thepolluted waters [4-9].Output wastewater from fish farms often contains high levels of waste, which can cause problems for the adjacent farms and other aquatic environments. Algae use most of this waste as a food source and thus, it has been attempted to grow these algae on the wastewater of fish farms[10]. Many algae demonstratesuitable capabilities for lead adsorption andas a result, a significant potential for wastewater purification [11].

Anastopoulos and Kyzas (2015)investigated the biosorption of heavy metals byalgae and discussed about the alternative use of different algae (micro and macro) in raw or modified form as promising biosorbents for water or wastewater decontamination [12]. Birungi and Chirwa (2015),in a study carried outon thallium adsorption by green micro-algae from water inSouth Africa,observed that these algae can be significantly effective in removing thallium from aqueous solutions[13].In another studyconducted on the brown algae,Lou et al. (2015)found that Laminaria japonica brown algae is also effective in wastewater treatment. These algae tend to adsorb molybdenum and rhenium from the wastewater[14]. Mitrogianniset al.(2015) in Greece used *Arthrospiraplatensis* to biosorption of methylene blue from aqueous solutions. The biosorption results showed that *A. Platensis* could be employed as an efficient and eco-friendly biosorbent regarding the removal of cationic dyes[15]. Temilselvan et al.(2011) studied the selective adsorption of heavy metals using algae species [16]. In developing countries like Iran,6urban and industrial wastewater together normally create municipal waste. Along with the development of urban wastewater systems, there is a necessity to seek appropriate methods in regard with the purification. There are many potential resources in nature to be appliedfor this purpose. Since algae have the ability to adsorb heavy metals, the presentstudy intended to investigate the removal of lead as a heavy metal by *Chlorella sp*. And *Chaetoceros sp*. algae.

Materials and Methods

This was an experimental study conducted in a batch reactor. The algae samples were prepared

[Downloaded from jhr.ssu.ac.ir on 2026-10-09]

in order to evaluate the effect of two types of algae on the lead removal from the contaminated water. *Chlorella* algae from the green algae and *Chaetocerose* algae from the brown algae were chosenout ofthe totalavailable different algae in fish breeding and research centers. The prototype algae were taken from the Fisheries Aquatic Center of Hormozgan, IRAN. Canvey medium was used for cultivating the algae, each of which was added separately to this medium until the algae thrived. Large quantities of white light and proper aeration at 26°Cwere used to grow the algae. The optimum conditions such as temperature, salinity, turbulence, pH and culture medium was used to obtain maximum cell density of algae. Deposition and centrifugation methods were used to separate the algae from the solution and then the algaewere dried in an oven. Characterization of functional groups in *Chlorella* and *Chaetocerose* algae was carried out by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopic (FTIR RX-1, Perkin Elmer, USA) at the room temperature.

Then the values of 0.2, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2 g of dried algae were prepared separately and were applied on synthetic solution samples of lead with concentrations of 20, 40 and 60 mg/L. The effective parameters on the adsorption process were studied, including contact time (30, 60, 90,180, 360 minutes), pH of solution (3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8), temperature (20, 25, 30, 35, 40 °C) and the particle size of adsorbents (20, 40, 60, 100 mesh). In each test, one parameter changed and the other parameters were fixed at the optimal dose. Each test was repeated three times, andtotally, 168 tests were conducted. Hydrochloric acid 0.1 N and NaOH were utilized to adjust the pH of the solution. After preparing solutions with an initial concentration of 20, 40 and 60 mg/L of lead by $PbSO_4$ at pH=6, 1.5 g of algae was added and adsorption test wasconducted at time intervals of 30 to 360 minutes. At this stage, the optimum contact time was 180 minutes. Two types of algae (*Chaetoceros* and *Chlorella*) were applied to study the effect of different lead concentrations (20, 40, 60 mg/L), taking into consideration a contact time of 180 minutes and pH of 6. The experimentswere carried out according to the standard methods for water and wastewater treatment[17]. Lead solution concentration was measured applying flame atomic absorption model(Shimadzu / AA-670)[18].Thus, in order to reach the optimal conditions, experiments were conducted under different conditions of temperature, time, pH, lead solution concentration, adsorbent dosage level and the size of adsorbent. The removal efficiency of lead was also investigated at optimum conditions calculated by the equation 1[19].

$$\%RE = \frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_0} \times 100 \qquad \text{(Eq. 1)}$$

RE: Removal efficiency

C_0: Initial lead concentrations (mg/L)

C_t: Leadconcentrations at the desired time(mg/L)

Adsorption isotherm models

There are a great many isotherm modelsconcerninganalysis of experimental data and description of adsorption equilibrium, such as Langmuir, Freundlich and Temkin. Freundlich isotherm model is derived for describing single-component adsorption equilibria on heterogeneous surfaces. Langmuir isotherm represents a single layer and uniform

[Downloaded from jhr.ssu.ac.ir on 2026-10-09]

adsorbent without interactions between adsorbed molecules. In the current study, the Freundlich (Equation 2) and Langmuir (Equation 3) modelswereused to determine the concentration of the adsorbed material[20-21].

$$logq_{eq} = logK_f + \frac{1}{n} logC_e \text{(Eq. 2)}$$

K_f: Adsorption capacity at unitconcentration

$\frac{1}{n}$: Intensity of adsorption

If $\frac{1}{n}$= 0, the adsorption process is irreversible.

If$1<\frac{1}{n}<0$,it is desired.

If $\frac{1}{n}>$ 1, it is undesirable.

$$\frac{C_{eq}}{q_{eq}} = \frac{1}{Q^0 b} + \frac{C_{eq}}{Q^0} \text{(Eq. 3)}$$

In the Langmuir model, Q^0 and b are Langmuir parameters, which arethe maximum adsorption capacity and associated energy,respectively. The equilibrium parameter (R_L) is the basis of the Langmuir isotherm, whichis defined by equation,$R_L = \frac{1}{1+bC_0}$[22-23]. In this equation, C_0 is the initial concentration and R_L is the type of isotherms. $1<R_L<0$ is optimal adsorption, $R_L>1$ is for undesirable adsorption, $R_L=1$ shows linear adsorption, and $R_L=0$demonstratesirreversible adsorption.

The pseudo-first order (Equation 4) and the pseudo-second order equations (Equation 5) were used in order to study the adsorbing kinetic[24].

$$\ln(q_{eq} - q) = \ln q_{eq} - \frac{K_2}{2.30} t \quad \text{(Eq. 4)}$$

$$\frac{t}{q} = \frac{1}{K_2 q^2_{eq}} + \frac{1}{q_{eq}} t \quad \text{(Eq. 5)}$$

In this equation, q and q_{eq} represent the lead amount adsorbed for each gram of adsorbent at a specified time and equilibrium state. In addition, K_1 and K_2 are the first order and second order kinetic constants, respectively.

Results

Characterization of the Adsorbents

Characterization of these adsorbents were carried out via FTIR studies in order to identify the functional groups in the 4000–400 nm range. The obtainedspectra indicate a number of adsorption peaks showing the complex nature of *Chaetoceros* and *Chlorella* algae.

The band observed at about 3430 cm^{-1} shows the presence of O-H stretching vibration group which is a type of hydroxyl group. The present picks at 2984-2780 cm^{-1}demonstrateC-H stretching vibration group. Strong bands at wavelengths of 1651.92 and 1545.25 cm^{-1}can be attributed to types I and II vibrating amid.

The -COO group was found to have peak at 1455.82 cm^{-1}, the wave number (from 1660 to 1820 cm^{-1}) for carbonyl group, the wave number (from 1800 to 2600 cm^{-1}) for C=N and C=O groups, the wave number (from 1050 to 1250 cm^{-1}) for C-C and C-O groups, and the picks lower than 1000 $cm^{-1}$was found for phosphate, -PO, O-P-O and C-X groups[25-26]. The differenttypes of functional groups as observed during FTIR studies of *Chaetoceros* and *Chlorella* algae participate in the adsorption process. In the present study, the wavelength of hydroxyl decreased after adsorption, whilethat of carboxyl group increased and amid and phosphate groups were created. The presence of these functional groups and their chemical substances content caused electron cloud with negative bar that was of a great importance in adsorbing lead positive ions. The present study

[Downloaded from jhr.ssu.ac.ir on 2026-10-09]

and other studies related to adsorption of metal ions by *Chaetoceros* and *Chlorella* algae in aqueous solutions show that adsorption of lead ions onto adsorbent is mainly dependent on the presence of -OH, -COO, amide, phosphate and amine functional groups.

Effect of contact time on Adsorption of lead

The resultsof the present study regardingthe effect of contact time on lead removal by *Chaetoceros* and *Chlorella* algae are depicted in Figure 1.In fact, the lead removal was increased by making an increase inthe contact time. The maximum lead removal efficiency at 180 minutes (equilibrium time) was 78% and 60% by *Chlorella* and *Chaetoceros* algae respectively. The study data were analyzed applying linear regression, which showed a 3% removalrateincreasebyresulting from 30 minutes increase of contact time.

Figure 1.The effect of contact time on lead removal efficiency by *Chaetoceros* and *Chlorella* algae (adsorbent dose= 1.5 g/L, pH=6, T= 25 °C and Pb= 20 mg/L)



Effect of pH on adsorption of lead

The effect of pH on lead removal using *Chlorella* and *Chaetoceros* algae is demonstrated in Figure 2. The maximum lead removal by *Chlorella* algae was 78% at pH 6, at equilibrium time of180 min. The lead removal decreased at pH>6 in the two algae, which this reduction reached42%in the *Chaetoceros* algae. The results of one-way ANOVA revealedthatpH has a significant effect on lead removal from aqueous solutions by the two algae.

[Downloaded from jhr.ssu.ac.ir on 2026-10-09]

Figure 2. The effect of pH on lead removal efficiency by *Chaetoceros* and *Chlorella* algae (adsorbent dose =1.5 g/L, contact time = 180 min, T= 25 °C and Pb= 20 mg/L)



Effect of the adsorbent dosage on adsorption of lead

The effect of adsorbent dosage of *Chlorella* and *Chaetoceros* algae on lead removal is shown in Figure 3.The study results revealedthatincreasingthe adsorbent dosage from0.2to2 g/L causedthe amount of lead removal at 1.5 g/L of adsorbent to reach the maximum of 78%, 68% and 60%,respectively.The maximum value of reported lead removal efficiency was 78%, which occurred at 1.5 g/L of adsorbent by *Chlorella* algae.

Figure 3. The effect of the adsorbent dosageon lead removal efficiency by *Chaetoceros* and *Chlorella* algae (contact time =180 min, pH=6, T=25 °C, and Pb= 20 mg/L)



Effect of temperature on lead adsorption

The effect of temperature on lead adsorption by *Chlorella* and *Chaetoceros* algae is presented in Figure 4at 20 to 40 °C, 1.5g/L dose of algae, at equilibrium contact time of180 minutes and pH of6.

[Downloaded from jhr.ssu.ac.ir on 2026-10-09]

Figure 4.The effect of temperature on lead removal efficiency by *Chaetoceros* and *Chlorella* algae (adsorbent dose =1.5 g/L, contact time =180 min, pH=6 and Pb= 20 mg/L)



The lead removal efficiencywas reported tochange by increasing the temperature from 20˚C to 30 ˚C. The maximum removal efficiency (%78) occurredat 30 ˚C by the*Chlorella* algae.

Effect of particle size on lead adsorption

The effect of adsorbent particle size of *Chlorella* and *Chaetoceros* algae is demonstrated in Figure 5 on lead adsorption at 35˚C, concentration of 1.5 gr/L algae, at equilibrium contact time of 180 minutes and pH of 6.

Figure 5.The effect of adsorbent particle size on lead removal efficiency by *Chaetoceros* and *Chlorella* algae (adsorbent dose =1.5 g/L, contact time =180 min, pH=6 and Pb= 20 mg/L)



Effect of initial metal ion concentration variation on lead adsorption

The effect ofthe initial concentrations of lead (20, 40 and 60 mg/L)on removal efficiency of lead is shown in Figure 6at equilibrium contact time of180 minutes, pH of 6, 25˚Cand with a mesh size of 20 by two types of *Chlorella*, and *Chaetoceros* algae.

[Downloaded from jhr.ssu.ac.ir on 2026-10-09]

Figure 6. The effect of lead concentration ($PbSO_4$) on removal efficiency of lead by *Chaetoceros* and *Chlorella* algae (adsorbent dose=1.5 g/L, contact time= 180 min, pH=6 and T=25 °C)



The study results indicated that the maximum lead adsorption efficiency occurredat the concentration of 20 mg/L. The lead removal efficiency decreased byincreasing the initial concentrations of lead from 20 to 60 mg/L. Moreover, the results of Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms are presented in Table 1.

Adsorption isotherms study

The results of Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Compliance of *Chaetoceros* and *Chlorella* algae equilibrium data via Langmuir and Freundlich models

	Langmuir					**Freundlich**			
Adsorbent	NSD	R^2	R_L	b	Q^0	NSD	R^2	n	K_f
Chlorella sp.	0.498	0.9839	0.2787	0.0517	0.9541	1.5921	0.9415	2.7502	**0.6974**
Chaetoceros sp.	91.663	0.9204	0.5037	0.0197	9.784	2.07	0.9806	1.6023	**0.4334**

NSD: Normalized Standard Deviation

According to the correlation coefficientobtained (R^2 = 0.98), the adsorption process of *Chlorella* algae follows the Langmuir model; and *Chaetoceros* algae follows the Freundlich model.

Adsorption kinetics study

The results of kinetic studies on the lead adsorption by *Chlorella*and *Chaetoceros* algae are reportedin Table 2.

Table 2. The values and the constants of lead adsorption kinetic models adsorb by *Chlorella* and *Chaetoceros* algae

	pseudo-first order model				**pseudo-second order model**			
Adsorbent	NSD	K_1 (mg/g)	g_t	R^2	NSD	K_1 (mg/g)	g_t	R^2
Chlorella sp.	82.2	0.0036	1.2	0.03778	20.95	0.1284	1.3347	**0.9512**
Chaetoceros sp.	104.7	0.0004	0.6	0.0045	46.94	0.1401	0.7070	**0.9512**

NSD: Normalized Standard Deviation

[Downloaded from jhr.ssu.ac.ir on 2026-10-09]

According to the results of kinetic investigations, the maximum correlation coefficient is shown in the pseudo-second order model.

Discussion

In this study, lead adsorption efficiency increased by raisingthe exposure time. Contacts and collision between the adsorber and the metal can be stated asthe probable reason for this increase, observed at the equilibrium time of 180 minutes. Althoughanincrease in the contact time up to 180 minutes led to an increase in the adsorption efficiency, the adsorption rate did not increase and remained constant through the temperature of more than 180 minutes. This phenomenon may berelated to the large number of empty surface sites on the adsorbent surface during the initial stages of the adsorption process. Over time, the adsorbent material can hardly adsorb the surface sites. Chonjnacka et al. (2005) in Poland investigated the mechanism of lead and cadmium adsorption by the green algae and found that increased contact time can raisethe metal removal efficiency[27]. In addition, Malakootian et al.(2011) in Iran investigated the adsorption of heavy metals by *UlothrixZonata* algae and proposed that maximum adsorption occurred at 60 min[28]. The results of other investigations concerningcontact time are consistent with the results of the current study[20-28].

The solution pH was considered to be an important variable which controls the Pb(II) adsorption at the adsorbent–water interface , which can get increased via raising the solution pH. Maximum Pb(II) removal was obtained at pH 6, andthe removal efficiency was found to be 78% for *Chlorella* at the optimum pH value. Therefore, all the following experiments on adsorption of Pb(II) from aqueous solution were carried out maintaining the solution at pH 6. The pH effectcan be explained considering the surface charge on the adsorbent material. At low pH, due to high positive charge density caused by protons on the surface sites, adsorption of Pb(II) ions was intensively decreased. As a matter of fact, it isprobably due to the competition of protons and Pb(II) ions towards a fixed number of adsorption sites [29]. The increased pHleads toelectrostatic repulsion decreases due to reduction of positive charge density on the sorption sites, thus resulting in an enhancement of metal adsorption. The Pb(II) ions in the aqueous solution may undergo solvation and hydrolysis. The process involved for Pb(II) is as follows [30]:

$$Pb^{2+} + nH_2O \longrightarrow Pb(H_2O)_n^{2+} \quad (6)$$

$$Pb(H_2O)_n^{2+} \longrightarrow Pb(H_2O)^{n-1} + H^+ \quad (7)$$

$$nPb^{2+} + mH_2O \longrightarrow Pb(OH)_m^{2n-m} + mH^+ \quad (8)$$

A perusal of the literature[31] on Pb(II) speciation shows that the dominant species are $Pb(OH)_2$ at pH>6 as well asPb^{2+} and $Pb(OH)^+$ at pH<6. Maximum removal of Pb(II) was observed at pH=6 in regard with the *Chlorella*adsorbent. On further increase of pH > 6, adsorption decreases,thoughtheleadremoval increases due adsorption. Moreover, a rise can be detectedregardinglead hydroxide formation which is precipitated [32-33]. The optimum pH value for adsorptionwas found to be 6 where $Pb(OH)_2$ precipitation does not occur.

[Downloaded from jhr.ssu.ac.ir on 2026-10-09]

The adsorption of Pb(II) ions on the surface of Chlorella can probably be explained by an ion exchange mechanism based on the surface complex formation model facilitated by the dissociation of functional groups present in *Chlorella* as shown below.

$$2(\text{-R-OH}) + Pb^{2+} \longrightarrow 2(RO)Pb + 2H^{+} \quad (9)$$

$$\text{-R-OH} + Pb(OH)^{+} \longrightarrow (\text{-RO})PbOH + H^{+} \quad (10)$$

where R represents the matrix present in *Chlorella*.

Increased adsorption as well asincreased adsorbent dosagecan be attributed to the availability of larger surface area and more adsorption sites. At very low adsorbent concentration, the adsorbent surface becomes saturated with the Pb^{2+} ions and the residual Pb^{2+}ion concentration in the solution is large. With an increase in adsorbent dosage, the metal ion removal increases as well. Removal rates decreased when adsorbent dosage were less than 1.5 g and adsorption efficiency rate decreased when dosage was greater than 1.5 g , yet, adsorption capacitywas increased. The study resultsare in line with the results ofMalakootian et al. 's study, (2011) in Iran examiningadsorption of heavy metals by *UlothrixZonata* algae [28].

In this study, as temperature increased, lead adsorption rate by two *Chlorella*, and *Chaetoceros* algae increased as well. This effect is due to increased ionic interactions and formation of active complexes between metal ions and polysaccharide components as well as the selective properties of algae cell walls that can be seen at higher temperatures. Furthermore, temperature can producean effect on the adsorption capacity of the adsorbents, so asanincrease in temperature can lead the adsorptivity of lead to increase. Since lead adsorption is an endothermic process, it would be expected that an increase in temperature of the adsorbate adsorbent system would result in an increase in the adsorption capacity. The adsorption process is controlled by diffusion, the adsorption capacity will slowly increase due to theincreasedtemperature. This is basically the fact the diffusion process is an endothermic process [34].Malakootian et al.(2011) in Iran investigated the adsorption of heavy metals by *UlothrixZonata* algae, who demonstrated that the highest adsorption was obtained at 30°C[28]. In another study conducted on adsorption of lead and cadmium from aqueous solutions by green algae, Sari et al.(2002)observed that metal adsorption rate increased by increasing the temperature[20].

The results revealed that the maximum percentage of lead removal (78%) was carried out by *Chlorella* alga and 20-mesh adsorbent particle size. and the results showed that a decrease in particle size causes an increase in the adsorption capacity of *Chlorella* and *Chaetoceros* algae. In the adsorption process, smaller particle size of adsorber gives to a large surface area for adsorbates. The findings of the current study are consistent with those of Kumar et al. (2006) conducted in India concerninglead adsorption by the green algae as well as those of Ozer et al.(2008) who studied the adsorption of heavy metals by the green algae [35-36].

[Downloaded from jhr.ssu.ac.ir on 2026-10-09]

In the adsorption systems, concentration of the adsorbed chemical plays an important role as a driving force to overcome the resistance caused by mass transfer between solid and liquid phase. In this study, three different concentrations were used and the highest removal efficiency was observed at the concentration of 20 mg/L. In other words, lead removal efficiency decreased resulted from theincreased concentration of lead. This can be associated with the increase in ion exchange bands where the initial concentration of metals is lower. By increasing the surface charge of the adsorbed material on the adsorbent, the adsorption locations on the adsorbent are saturated and removal efficiency of the adsorbent decreases rapidly [37-38]. Aksu et al. observed that increasing the concentration from 50 mg/L to 500 mg/L caused a reduction in removal efficiency as well as anincreasein the adsorption capacity [39].

Investigating lead adsorption isotherms by *Chlorella* and *Chaetoceros* algae are shown in Table 1, which indicates that lead adsorption by *Chlorella* and *Chaetoceros* algae comply with the Langmuir and Freundlich models, respectively.

R_L in the range between 0 and 1 indicates the desired lead adsorption by the two algae. Freundlich isotherm coefficient indicated that the adsorption process does not follow this model. The accuracy of the adsorption isotherm models was confirmed by the normalized standard deviation factor (NSD) between experimental data and estimates of adsorption capacity. Lower NSD represents a more accurate estimation of q_t. NSD, that can be determined by Equation 11[40].

$$NSD(\%)= 100 \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1}\sum_1^N[\frac{qiexp-qical}{qiexp}]^2} \quad \text{(Eq. 11)}$$

Iq_{iexp} and q_{ical} are the experimental and estimatedcapacities respectively and N represents the number of experiments.

The kinetic study, carried out to predict the adsorption rate in order to design and model the process, showed that the pseudo-second order kineticmodel is the best model to determine the rate of reaction with this adsorbent. The correlation coefficients in this model were more than 0.90 and the q_{ical} value was close to q_{iexp}. The adsorption process followed the pseudo-second order model demonstrating that in the adsorption process, two reactions have parallel effects on adsorption. The first reaction a quickly reached equilibrium, whereas the second reaction was slow and took a longer time [40].

NSD in pseudo-second order model was less than that of the pseudo-first order model. Pseudo-second order model was more consistent with the experimental data. In a study conducted by Chen et al. (2008) in China, to determine the kinetic behavior of lead and nickel adsorption by the green algae, the researchers found that the adsorption of heavy metals in aqueous solutions obeys second order kinetics[41]. In two studies conducted in Iran by Malakootian et al.(2011) and Gholizade et al.(2012)conducted a study in order to determine the kinetic behavior of metals adsorption, who proposed that adsorption of heavy metals in aqueous solutions follows the second order kinetic model [28-39].

Conclusion

Lead removal efficiency by *Chlorella* and *Chaetoceros* algae were 78% and 60%, respectively. As a result,using these biological

[Downloaded from jhr.ssu.ac.ir on 2026-10-09]

adsorbents is recommended. Due to the high cost of other removal methods, applyingthe *Chlorella* alga is an effective way to remove lead from wastewater effluents.The amount of adsorption capacity depends on such factors as pH, temperature, initial metal ion concentration, adsorbentsize, adsorbent dose and contact time. Therefore, applying the optimal is recommended in order to achieve higher removal efficiency,.

Acknowledgement

This research was conducted at the Environmental Medicine ResearchCommittee, at the Faculty of Health, Kerman University of Medical Sciences and was sponsored by the Vice-Chancellor for Research and Technology of that University.

References

1. Humood AN. Assessment and management of heavy metal pollution in the marine environment of the Arabine GULF: a review.Marin pollution Bulletin.2013;72(1):6-13.
2. Barnes S, Peterson TG, Coward L, etal. Rational for the use of genistein containing soy matrices in chemo prevention trals for breast and prostate cancer.Journal cell Biochem suppl. 1995;22:181-87.
3. Cache E. Heavy Metals in Waste. Eropean Commission DG ENV.E3. project ENV.E3/ETU/2000/0058. Denmark.2002;29:1-86
4. Mitrogiannis D, Markou G, Çelekli A, et al. Biosorption of methylene blue onto Arthrospira platensis biomass: Kinetic, equilibrium and thermodynamic studies. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering.2015;3 (2):670-80.
5. Akbari M, Hallajisani A, Keshtkar, A.R, et al. Equilibrium and kinetic study and modeling of Cu(II) and Co(II) synergistic biosorption from Cu(II)-Co(II) single and binary mixtures on brown algae C. indica. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering.2015;3 (1): 140-49.
6. Sarada B, Prasad M.K, Kumar K, et al. Cadmium removal by macro algae Caulerpa fastigiata: Characterization, kinetic, isotherm and thermodynamic studies. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering. 2014;2 (3): 1533-42.
7. Jayakumar R, Rajasimman M, Karthikeyan C. Sorption of hexavalent chromium from aqueous solution using marine green algae Halimeda gracilis: Optimization, equilibrium, kinetic, thermodynamic and desorption studies. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering.2014;2 (3):1261-74.
8. Meitei MD, Prasad MNV. Lead (II) and cadmium (II) biosorption on Spirodela polyrhiza (L.) Schleiden biomass. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering. 2013;1 (3): 200-207.
9. Guler UA, Sarioglu M. Single and binary biosorption of Cu(II), Ni(II) and methylene blue by raw and pretreated Spirogyra sp.: Equilibrium and kinetic modeling. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering.2013;1 (3): 369-77.
10. McHugh DJ. Food And Agriculture Organization Of The United Nations: A Guide To The Seaweed Industry. Food and Agriculture organization of the United Nation Rome. 2003.P.441
11. Mehta SK, Gaur JP.Use of algae of removing heavy metal ions form wastewater: progress and prospect.Critical.Journal of Reviews in Biotechnology.2005;25(3):113-52.

[Downloaded from jhr.ssu.ac.ir on 2026-10-09]

12. Anastopoulos I, Kyzas G. Z, Progress in batch biosorption of heavy metals onto algae. Journal of Molecular Liquids.2015, 209 (0), 77-86.
13. Birungi ZS, Chirwa EMN. The absorption potential and recovery of thallium using green micro-algae from eutrophic water sources. Journal of Hazardous Materials. 2015;299(0):67-77.
14. Lou Z, Wang J, Jin X, et al. Brown algaebased new sorption material for fractional recovery of molybdenum and rhenium from wastewater. Chemical Engineering Journal. 2015;273(0):231-9.
15. Mitrogiannis D, Markou G, Çelekli A, et al. Biosorption of methylene blue onto Arthrospira platensisbiomass: Kinetic, equilibrium and thermodynamic studies. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering. 2015;3(2):670-80.
16. Temilselvan N, Saurav K, Kannabiran K. Biosorption ofselected toxic heavy metals using algal speciesacanthoporaspicefera. Pharmacology onlin. 2011;1:518-28.
17. Gilcreas FW.Standard method for the examination of water and waste water. American Journal of Public Health and the Nations Health. 1966;56(3):387-88
18. Romera E, Gonzalez F, Ballester A, et al. Comparative study of biosorbtion of heavy metals using different types of algae. Bioresource Technology. 2007;98(17):3344-53.
19. Cucarella V, Renman G. Phosphorus Adsorption capacity of filter materials used for on- site wasterwater treatment determined in Batch Experiments-a comparative study. Journal of Environmental Quality.2009;38(2):381-92.
20. Sari A, Tuzen M. Biosorption of pb(II) andCd(II) from aqueous solution using green alga (UIva lactuca) biomass. Journal of Hazardous Materiales.Journal of Hazardous Materials.2008; 152(1):302-08.
21. Khorramfar S, Mahmoodi N, Arami M, et al. Dye removal from colored textile wastewater using tamarindusindica hull: Adsorption isotherm and kinetics study. Journal of Color Science Technology. 2009;3:81-88. [Persian]
22. Nadaval SK, Swayampakula K, Bodda VM, et al. Biosorption of phenol and o-chlorophenol from aqueous solution on to chitosan-calcium alginate blended beads. Journal of HazardousMaterials.2009;162(1):482-89
23. Uddin MT, Islam MS, Abedin MZ. Adsorption of phenol from aqueous solution by water hyacinth ash.ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences.2007;2(2):11-17.
24. Juan WU, Han-Qing YU. Biosorption of phenol andChlorophenols from aqueous solutions by Fungal Mycelia. Journal ofprocess Biochem. 2006;41(1):44-49.
25. Ferreira, LS, Rodrigues, MS, Carvalho D, et al. Adsorption of Ni2+, Zn2+ and Pb2+ onto dry biomass of Arthrospira (Spirulina) platensis and Chlorella vulgaris. I. Single metal systems. Chemical Engineering Journal.2011;173(2):326– 33.
26. Gagrai MK., Das C, Golder AK. Reduction of Cr(VI) into Cr(III) by Spirulina dead biomass in aqueous solution: Kinetic studies. Journal of Chemosphere.2013; 93(7): 1366-71.
27. Chojnacka K, Chojnacki A, Gorecka H. Biosorption of Cr3+,Cd2+ and Cu2+ ions by blue-green algea Spirulina sp: kinetics, equilibrium and the mechanism of the process.Journal of Chemosphere. 2005;59(1):75-84.
28. Malakootian M, Moussavi SGH, Toolabi A. A Studay of kinetics And Biosorption Isotherms of Heavy Matals By Algae Ulothrix Zonata form Industrial Wastewater. Scientific Journal of Ilame University of Medical Sciences.2011;19(4):26-37. (Persian)

[Downloaded from jhr.ssu.ac.ir on 2026-10-09]

29. Farajzadeh MA, Monji AB. Adsorption characteristics of wheat bran towards heavy metal cations. Journal ofSeparation and Purification Technology.2004;38(3)197-207.
30. Goel J, Kadirvelu K, Rajagopal C, et al. Removal of lead (II) from aqueous solution by adsorption on carbon aerogel using a response surface methodological approach, Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research.2005;44(7):1987–94.
31. Gomez-Serrano V, Macias-Garcia A, Epinosa-Mansilla A, etal.Adsorption of mercury, cadmium, and lead from aqueous solutions on heat-treated and sulfurised activated carbon.Journal of Water Research.1998; 32(1): 1–4.
32. Akil A, Mouflih M, Sebti S. Removal of heavy metal ions from water by using calcined phosphate as a new adsorbent, Journal of Hazardous Materials.2004;12(3): 183–190.
33. Khalid N, Rahman S, Ahmad S. Potential of sawdust for the decontamination of lead from aqueous media. Journal of Separation Science and Technology.2005;40(2): 2427–43.
34. Naiya TK, Bhattacharya AK, Das SK. Adsorption of Pb(II) by sawdust and neem bark from aqueous solutions.Journal ofEnvironmental Progress .2008;27 (3):313-328.
35. Kumar Y, King P, Prasad V. Removal of copper from aqueous solution using UIva fasciata sp-a marine green algae. Journal of Hazardous Materiales. 2006;137(1):367-73.
36. Ozer D, Ozer A. Investigation of Zinc(II) adsorption on cladophora crispate in a two- staged reactor. Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnolgy. 2008; 75(5):410-16.
37. Kermani M, Pourmoghaddas H, Bina B, et al. Removal of phenol form aqueous solution by rice husk ash and activated carbon. Pakistan Journal of biological sciences.2006;9:1905-10.
38. Banat FA, AL-Bashir B, AL-Asheh S, et al. Adsorption of phenol by bentonite. Journal of Environmental Pollution.2000; 107(3):391-98.
39. Goholizadeh A, Gholami M, Kermani M, et al. Kinetic and equibrium models for iosorption of phenolic compounds on chemically modified seaweed, Cystoseira indica. Journal of North Khorasan university of Medical Sciences. 2012;4(4):683-93. (Persian)
40. Behnam Fard A, Salarirad MM. Equilibrium and kinetic studies on free cyanide adsorption from aqueous solution by activated carbon. Journal of Hazardous Materiales.2009;170(1):127-33.
41. Chen Z, Ma W, Han M. Biosorption of nickel and copper ontotreated alge (Undaria pinnatifida): application of isotherm and kinetic models.Journal of Hazardous Materiales. 2008;155:327-33.

[Downloaded from jhr.ssu.ac.ir on 2026-10-09]