CYP141 gene: A New approach for Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Identification

Masoud Keikha

Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Letter to the Editor

Received: 3 Sep 2017 Accepted: 3 Dec 2017



Corresponding Author:

Masoud Keikha masoudkeikha@outlook.com

To the Editor,

Tuberculosis is one of the dangerous infectious diseases in the world; annually, two million people die of the disease. Right now, there are a number of phenomena such as the emergence of extensively drug resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis (XDR-TB), multidrug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MDR-TB) and Human Immuno deficiency Virus(HIV) which have also exacerbated the (1, 2).Furthermore, situation Nonexisting tuberculosis mycobacteria (NTM) species which live in the environmental resources can cause pulmonary infections in human which are symptoms, clinical manifestations of the patient and the results of radiological pictures similar to the tuberculosis and potential to misdiagnose with tuberculosis disease (3).

In many developing countries, given that Tuberculosis disease is usually epidemic in these regions clinically isolates of Mycobacterium species are not diagnosed at the species level (3); moreover, according to the isolation methods of mycobacterium spp. are similar and opportunistic species Non-tuberculosis mycobacteria of analogous to Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex (MTBC) are acid-fast bacilli in ZihelNeelson(ZN) staining; therefore, it is probable that bothgroups of mycobacteria (NTM and MTBC) misdiagnosed. Unfortunately, NTM species are resistance to anti-tuberculosis antibiotics and the treatment regimen of Non-tuberculosis mycobacterium infections are different from Mycobacterium tuberculosis; accordingly, many drug-resistant tuberculosis (DR-TB) reports from developing countries are actually misreports (3, 4). Given that Mycobacterium species in these laboratories have not been identified at the species level and these species reported as Mycobacterium tuberculosis (limited to the results of ZN-staining ad microscopic evaluation), according to NTM species anti-tuberculosis drugs are resistant to these antibiotics; therefore, the patients have not been cured and reported as drug-resistant tuberculosis (DR-TB) (3, 4). There are two methods for Mycobacterium specie identification to the species level:1) Conventional methods including acid-fast staining, growth at different temperatures, growth rate, pigment construction, Tween 80 hydrolysis, growth on MacConkey agar, nitrate urease reduction, iron uptake, activity, pyrazinamidase, Arylsulfatase (3 days), niacin accumulation, Heat labile catalase and Heat stable catalase tests and 2) molecular test such as direct sequencing, Polymerase Chain Reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP), DNA probes and DNA hybridization techniques. Mycobacteria identification according to the

Copyright: ©2017 The Author(s); Published by Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

phenotypic methods are laborious, time-consuming, difficult and need to expertise technicians,however, molecular tests are rapid, efficient, accurate and reliable to Mycobacterium identification spp. to the species level ^(5, 6).

The popular markers that are applied in NTM species detection and differentiation to species level are 16S rRNA, hsp65, rpoB, gyrB and recA1 (5). Furthermore there are several gens for Mycobacterium tuberculosis identification such as Insertion sequence especially IS6110, mtb-4, dnaJ, and heat shock proteins (HSP gene). Based on the literatures, IS6110(Insertion Sequence) is the most important option that is reported as a selective marker in Mycobacterium tuberculosis identification (2, 7). However, the use of this gene is limited in some cases for example in Beijing strains detection; these strains of Mycobacterium are the high prevalence tuberculosis of Mycobacterium tuberculosis families in the Asia which are associated with drug-resistance TB, or Mycobacterium bovis which are consisted of few copies of this gene (7,8). Therefore, it is essential to compare selective identification marker Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains in order to determine which gene has a better performance. Recent reports have indicated that CYP 141 gene is an appropriate candidate for Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains (2, 7, 9).

CYP141 (cytochrome P450) gene is one of these options. CYP141 gene encodes one of the proteins that plays a mediatory role in the

respiratory chain in redox reactions and energy production in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. The genes involved in the biosynthesis of molybdenum are known as *Rv3121*. This gene is not existed in strains of *M.bovis* (pathogenic) and *M.bovis BCG* (non-pathogenic), but *CYP141* (*cytochrome* P450) gene is present in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* genome as one of the important virulence factors ^(7, 10).

Feizabadi and colleagues used CYP141 gene for the first time in the world, in the study for identifying Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains isolated from respiratory samples of 247 patients with suspected tuberculosis. They showed that this target was directly detected in all isolates harboring M. tuberculosis. Furthermore, the sensitivity for this target was as low as one pictogram. Moreover, Feizadadi et al, in another study declared that the sensitivity and specificity of CYP141-PCR is85.7% and 97.8%, respectively and this gene can't be distinguished M. bovis from patients. Nowaday, there are few studies about this gene (CYP141 gene); however according to existence literatures, it is found that this gene is a new option for the Mycobacterium tuberculosis specific detection in respiratory specimens (7,9).

In summary, *CYP141* gene is a new approache for rapid and accurate direct *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* identification in clinical specimens.

Conflict of interest

There is no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Organization WHO. Global tuberculosis report 2013: World Health Organization; 2013.
- 2. Keikha M, Kamali M, Bakhshi B, et al. Discovery of the new target for identification of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Avicenna Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infection. 2017(In Press).
- 3. Nasiri MJ, Dabiri H, Darban-Sarokhalil D, et al. Prevalence of non-tuberculosis mycobacterial infections among tuberculosis suspects in Iran: systematic review and meta-analysis. PloS One. 2015; 10(6): 1-9.
- 4. Khaledi A, Bahador A, Esmaeili D, et al. Prevalence of Nontuberculous Mycobacteria (NTM) in Iranian clinical specimens: systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Medical Bacteriology. 2017; 5(3-4): 29-40.
- 5. Hashemi-Shahraki A, Bostanabad SZ, Heidarieh P, et al. Species spectrum of nontuberculous mycobacteria isolated from suspected tuberculosis patients, identification by multi locus sequence analysis. Infection, Genetics and Evolution. 2013; 20: 312-24.
- 6. Soini H, Musser JM. Molecular diagnosis of mycobacteria. Clinical Chemistry. 2001; 47(5): 809-14.

- 7. Darban-Sarokhalil D, Fooladi A, Bameri Z, et al. Cytochrome CYP141: a new target for direct detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis from clinical specimens. Acta Microbiologica et Immunologica Hungarica. 2011; 58(3): 211-7.
- 8. Sun Y-J, Bellamy R, Lee AS, et al. Use of mycobacterial interspersed repetitive unit-variable-number tandem repeat typing to examine genetic diversity of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in Singapore. Journal of Clinical Microbiology. 2004; 42(5): 1986-93.
- 9. Farzam B, Fooladi AA, Izadi M, et al. Comparison of cyp141 and IS6110 for detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis from clinical specimens by PCR. Journal of Infection and Public Health. 2015; 8(1): 32-6.
- 10. McLean KJ, Dunford AJ, Neeli R, et al. Structure, function and drug targeting in Mycobacterium tuberculosis cytochrome P450 systems. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics. 2007; 464(2): 228-40.