

## Original Article

# Factors Pertaining to Unintended Pregnancy Amongst Women Visiting Healthcare Centers of Yazd City

Marzieh Valipour<sup>1\*</sup>, Abbas Askari Nodushan<sup>2</sup>

1-Department of Demography, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran

2- School of Social Sciences, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran

Received: 2015/7/4

Accepted: 2015/08/30

---

### Abstract

**Introduction:** Unintended pregnancy is associated with dangerous consequences for the mother's and baby's health as well as for the family and society. Hence, this study aimed to investigate the factors related to unintended gestation amongst pregnant women visiting healthcare centers in Yazd, Iran .

**Materials & Methods:** The present study was conducted using a questionnaire as the data collection tool via multi-phase sampling method during June-July 2014. The statistical population size was 5925 among which, a sample size of 220 was selected

**Results:** The current study results showed that the participants' mean age was 27 years old and 90.9% of them were housewives. Participants' mean of marriage age was reported 20.01, while mean number of their children was 0.85. Out of 220 women, who participated in this study ,28.6 % were reported to have unintended pregnancy. Moreover, based on the logistic regression model, variables of woman's marriage age, type of contraception solution, and ideal number of children are three most effective factors on the unintended pregnancy. Existing variables in regression model justified 38.9% of the unintended pregnancies .

**Conclusion:** The study findings revealed that improving quality level of consultancy and family regulation programs seem to be essential ,specifically proper training in regard with usage methods of contraception devices.

**Keywords:** Unintended pregnancy, Contraception Devices, Family Regulation,

---

\* Corresponding author; Tel: 09139788040 Email: valipour\_ma@yahoo.com

## Introduction

Although contraception methods are increasingly used all over the developing countries, reports have shown that a quarter of all births in developing world (not including China) in 1990s were unintended ones <sup>[1]</sup>. Unintended pregnancy is a worldwide problem associated with dangerous consequences and aftermaths which affect not only the mother and the child, but also the family and society <sup>[2]</sup>.

Unintended pregnancy is a case of unplanned conception occurred despite using a contraception method. Every woman could be prone to unintended pregnancy and the situation would be associated with higher levels of risk for women in poor economic and social conditions and/or younger ages <sup>[3]</sup>.

About a third of all pregnancies worldwide are not planned beforehand. which are categorized into two: 1) untimely pregnancies which occur unplanned despite parents' willingness to having children, and 2) unintended cases of pregnancy which are not planned within parents who do not want to have children. In this type of pregnancy, mothers often do not have adequate participation in the prenatal care, which often leads to abortion. <sup>[3]</sup>.

Unintended pregnancies can be harmful both to mother and child. International studies have demonstrated that unintended pregnancy could have negative consequences. Women who are unintentionally pregnant are more likely to

receive insufficient pregnancy time healthcare, which may lead to deterioration of health situation for both mother and child; Generally, the children who are born as a result of an unintended conception are more prone to danger in comparison, since they are usually underweighted or more likely to be deprived of their mothers' milk <sup>[4]</sup>. Moreover in some cases, there is a possibility that the infant would not receive attention and healthcare after birth which leaves him/her prone to diseases <sup>[5]</sup>.

Unintended pregnancy is in some cases associated with unhealthy behavior of mothers which may lead to higher risk of pregnancy negative consequences; for instance, women who get pregnant without previous intention are likely to start healthcare measures late in time and so it can affect negatively their health and their children's health as well <sup>[6]</sup>.

Unintended pregnancy is important in the sense that it lies among the most important healthcare issues worldwide, and imposes enormous anxiety and pressure on mothers, spouses, and in long term on the children as well <sup>[7]</sup>.

Annually 400-500 thousands of unintended pregnancy cases are being reported in Iran; which literally equals to 40% of all pregnancy cases. There is a high chance of preventing social disorders and high economical costs

through contraception and decrease the rate of unintended pregnancies<sup>[8]</sup>.

Although some studies have been conducted in Iran (Abazari et al., 2002; Khoshe-mehri et al., 2007; Azizi et al., 2011; Yazdani 2012; etc.), a comprehensive understanding of causes and factors related to unintended conception has remained an open area to investigate. The current study intends to investigate the factors associated with unintended pregnancies in Yazd, Iran 1 in which a low fertility rate have been experienced in consistency with decreasing trend of fertility at the national level; and Fertility levels have been low, tries improve our perception in this area. As a matter of fact, the present study aimed to examine the factors which influence unintended pregnancy rates amongst the women who visited healthcare centers all over the Yazd. Such, Affecting factors on unintended pregnancies as the couples' age, usage of contraception means, number of previous pregnancies, couples' employment status, and their education level are addressed hereby. The relationships between personal and demographic characteristics of the statistical sample detect and examine unintended pregnancy rate in this study.

### Materials and methods

In this survey study, the statistical population entailed all the married pregnant women aged 15-49 years who visited healthcare in Yazd, Iran, among which the samples was collected via

a multi-phases sampling method. Analysis unit was defined as a pregnant woman selected from those pregnant woman who visited the healthcare in Yazd. The study sample size was calculated using Cochran's formula based on value of p and q which yielded 220.

$$n = \frac{\frac{t^2 \cdot p \cdot q}{d^2}}{1 + \frac{1}{N} \left( \frac{t^2 \cdot p \cdot q}{d^2} - 1 \right)}$$

t=1.96    p= 0.82    q= 0.18    d=0.05    N=592  
n=220

The study data were gleaned through a questionnaire which included a number of open and close ended questions designed to measure the variables. At the description level, the statistical indices of median and mode, frequencies, frequency percent, standard deviation, minimum and maximum; and at the statement level, the significance level associated with relationship of dependent variable to each independent variable (age, birth place, education level, employment status, her age at marriage time, number of children born alive, abortion records, number of previous pregnancies, and the desired number of children) were calculated using Chi-square and t-tests. In order to analyze nominal and ordinal variables (birth place, employment status, education level, abortion records, type of contraception device), chi-square test was applied. Moreover, in order to analyze the distance variables (age, , marriage age, number of children born alive, the desired

number of children and number of previous pregnancies) t-test was used.

The logistic recession method was then utilized in order to estimate the specific effect of each independent variable on the dependent variable (unintended pregnancy) as well as to measure the significance level of the relationships between the variables. The study data were analyzed applying SPSS software package.

## Results

Table 1 shows the descriptive statistics for the qualitative variables used in the statistical analysis. 75% of respondents were born in the city while 25% came from the rural locations. The study results have revealed that about 28% of respondents were experiencing an unintended pregnancy, either not expecting for more children or intending to have children only in future, while the majority (70.5 %) reported their pregnancy as an intended case.

**Table (1)** – Summary of sample descriptive characteristics

| characteristics                                | codes                      | number | percent |
|--|----------------------------|--------|---------|
| <b>birth place</b>                             | 1-City                     | 165    | 75      |
|  | 2-Village                  | 55     | 25      |
| <b>education level</b>                         | 1-primery school and lower | 32     | 14.5    |
|  | 2-Secondary school         | 50     | 22.7    |
|  | 3-Diploma                  | 83     | 37.7    |
|  | 4-University education     | 55     | 25      |
| <b>employment status</b>                       | 1-Housewife                | 200    | 90.9    |
|  | 2-Free                     | 6      | 2.7     |
|  | 3-Employee                 | 9      | 4.1     |
|  | 4-University student       | 5      | 2.3     |
| <b>Abortion records</b>                        | 1-Yes                      | 33     | 15      |
|  | 2-No                       | 187    | 85      |
| <b>type of contraception device</b>            | 1-Modern                   | 189    | 85.9    |
|  | 2-Traditional              | 31     | 14.1    |
| <b>type of pregnancy in woman's view point</b> | 1-Unintended               | 63     | 28.6    |
|  | 2-Intended                 | 155    | 70.5    |
|  | 3-no response              | 2      | 0.9     |

As the results of descriptive statistics are demonstrated in Table (2), respondents' mean age was 27 years; with a range of 15- 44 years. Furthermore, the mean marriage age was 20 and marriage age domain was reported between 12 and 32.

Bivariate tests were used in order to examine the relationship between independent and dependent variables. The results are summarized in Table (3) below.

Based on the information presented in Table (4), unintended pregnancy was not significantly related to the woman's age, however a

significant relationship was observed in regard with women's marriage age, the desired number of children, Number of children born alive, and number of previous pregnancies.

The dependent variable in the present study was occurrence of unintended pregnancy which was categorized into two levels of "has unintended pregnancy" and "does not have unintended pregnancy". Which were codified as 0 and 1 respectively in the Logistic Regression analysis.

**Table (2)** - Summary of the study sample statistics.

| <b>variable</b>                       | <b>mean</b> | <b>median</b> | <b>mode</b> | <b>standard deviation</b> | <b>minimum</b> | <b>maximum</b> |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| <b>age</b>                            | 27.11       | 27            | 25          | 5.52                      | 15             | 44             |
| <b>marriage age</b>                   | 20.01       | 19            | 18          | 3.82                      | 12             | 32             |
| <b>number of children born alive</b>  | 0.85        | 1             | 0           | 0.93                      | 0              | 4              |
| <b>number of previous pregnancies</b> | 2.02        | 2             | 1           | 1.09                      | 1              | 6              |
| <b>the desired number of children</b> | 3.06        | 3             | 4           | 0.98                      | 0              | 4              |

**Table (3) - Results of Bivariate tests (qualitative variable)**

|                                     | unintended pregnancy        | intended  |         | unintended |         | Sum frequency |                           |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------|------------|---------|---------------|---------------------------|
|                                     |                             | frequency | Percent | frequency  | Percent |               |                           |
| <b>birth place</b>                  | 1- city                     | 44        | 69.8    | 120        | 77.4    | 164           | Sig: 0.158                |
|                                     | 2- Village                  | 19        | 30.2    | 35         | 22      | 54            | Chi square: 1.380         |
| <b>employment status</b>            | 1- housewife                | 58        | 92.1    | 140        | 90.3    | 198           | Sig: 0.534                |
|                                     | 2- free                     | 2         | 3.2     | 4          | 2.6     | 6             |                           |
|                                     | 3- employee                 | 3         | 4.8     | 6          | 3.9     | 9             | Chi square: 2.191         |
|                                     | 4- university student       | 0         | 0.0     | 5          | 3.2     | 5             |                           |
| <b>education</b>                    | 1- primary school and lower | 13        | 20.6    | 19         | 12.3    | 32            | Sig: 0.027                |
|                                     | 2- Secondary school         | 19        | 30.2    | 30         | 19.4    | 49            | Chi square: 9.216         |
|                                     | 3- Diploma                  | 15        | 23.8    | 68         | 43.9    | 83            |                           |
|                                     | 4- University education     | 16        | 23.8    | 38         | 24.5    | 54            | Cramer's V: 0.206         |
| <b>type of contraception device</b> | 1- modern                   | 48        | 76.2    | 139        | 89.7    | 187           | Sig: 0/010                |
|                                     | 2- traditional              | 15        | 23.8    | 16         | 10.3    | 31            | Chi-square V: 6.68        |
| <b>abortion records</b>             | 1- does have                | 10        | 15.9    | 22         | 14.2    | 32            | Phi: -0.175<br>Sig: 0.751 |
|                                     | 2- does not have            | 53        | 84.1    | 133        | 85.8    | 186           | Chi square: 0.101         |

**Table (4) – Results of Bivariate tests (quantitative variable)**

| variable                              | unintended pregnancy |                | test value | significance level |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------|--------------------|
|                                       | mean                 | standard error |            |                    |
| <b>age</b>                            | 27.11                | 5.524          | 0.065-     | 0.949              |
| <b>marriage age</b>                   | 20.01                | 3.828          | 3.226-     | 0.001              |
| <b>number of children born alive</b>  | 0.85                 | 0.932          | 3.575      | 0.000              |
| <b>the desired number of children</b> | 3.063                | 0.981          | 2.725-     | 0.007              |
| <b>number of previous pregnancies</b> | 2.02                 | 1.099          | 3.914      | 0.000              |

Women's Demographic and individual variables of were entered into Logistic Regression model, so as their effects could be simultaneously evaluated. The simultaneous effects of women's education level, marriage age, number of children born alive, the type of contraception method, the desired number of children, and number of previous pregnancies (the variables which had shown a significant relationship with the outcome variable) on the unintended pregnancy were examined through the model.

As Table (5) shows, among the set of predictors entered into regression model, the number of previous pregnancies did not significantly relate to the likelihood of unintended pregnancy occurrence. However the variables of marriage age, type of contraception method, and the desired number of children significantly affected the likelihood of unintended pregnancy.

**Table (5)** –Effects of each predictor (independent) variable

| —                                     | variables                           | B                           | S.E.  | Wald                       | df | Sig.                      | Exp(B) |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|----------------------------|----|---------------------------|--------|
| <b>education</b>                      | primary school and lower(reference) |                             |       | 7.026                      | 3  | 0.071                     |        |
|                                       | secondary school                    | 0.661-                      | 0.592 | 1.245                      | 1  | 0.264                     | 0.516  |
|                                       | diploma                             | 0.224                       | 0.581 | 0.149                      | 1  | 0.700                     | 1.251  |
|                                       | university education                | -0.918                      | 0.637 | 2.078                      | 1  | 0.149                     | 0.339  |
| <b>marriage age</b>                   | —                                   | 0.144                       | 0.058 | 6.131                      | 1  | <u>0.013</u>              | 1.151  |
| <b>number of children born alive</b>  | —                                   | -0.442                      | 0.310 | 2.027                      | 1  | 0.155                     | 0.643  |
| <b>type of contraception device</b>   | Modern(reference)                   | -1.172                      | 0.445 | 6.928                      | 1  | <u>0.008</u>              | 0.310  |
|                                       | traditional                         |                             |       |                            |    |                           |        |
| <b>the desired number of children</b> | —                                   | 0.614                       | 0.189 | 10.495                     | 1  | <u>0.001</u>              | 1.847  |
| <b>number of previous pregnancies</b> | —                                   | -0.309                      | 0.241 | 1.467                      | 1  | 0.199                     | 0.734  |
|                                       |                                     | Nagel kerke R square: 0.389 |       | cox & snall R square:0.272 |    | -2log like lihoon:189.594 |        |

## Discussion

In the light of descriptive results, the mean age of women was 27.11 years at the time of survey, while the mean marriage age was 20.01

years. The highest percent of educational level was recorded for high school and diploma level group (37.7%). Mean number of children born

alive was 0.85, and mean the desired number of children was 3.06 children among the respondents.

Regarding the use of contraception means, 85.9% of respondents reported use of modern methods of contraception while 14.1% applied the traditional methods. The study sample consisted of 90.9% housewives, amongst whom 85% did not experience any miscarriages. Moreover, 75% of respondents were born in the city. The results of the present study showed that 28% of mothers in this survey have considered their case of pregnancy as an unintended one. Where as Khoshe-mehri et al<sup>[5]</sup>, reported 31% unintended pregnancies in Tehran and Abazari et al<sup>[22]</sup> reported the level of 34.9% in Kerman.

## Conclusion

It could be concluded that a significant relationship exists between the educational level of women under study and the likelihood of unintended pregnancies. In other words, as the educational level increases, the percent of unintended pregnancies would decrease which is in concordance with finding of Khoshe-mehri et al<sup>[5]</sup>, Asadi et al<sup>[10]</sup>, and Rezaeepour et al<sup>[12]</sup> as well. Khoshe-mehri et al proposed that 49.1% and 37.5% of pregnancies were unintended amongst illiterate women as well as within those with primary school education level respectively. In addition, Only 20.5% of women with high school diploma or higher education experienced unintended pregnancies.

As the number of previous pregnancies for women increases, the percent of unintended pregnancies rise as well. The finding of the present study approved this relation which is in concordance with those of Khoshe-mehri et al<sup>[5]</sup>. Which proposed that the likelihood of unintended pregnancy increases as more women cases pregnancy. According to their research, 84.6% of pregnancy cases if women who were experiencing their forth pregnancy were unintended; while only 16% of women reported their first pregnancy as an unintended case.

A significant relationship was detected between woman's marriage age and likelihood of unintended pregnancy; which is in line with results obtained by Mansouri et al<sup>[11]</sup>. They found that about 19% of pregnancies occurred at ages lower than 20 or higher than 35. As a matter of fact, Very young age and so high pregnancy and low marriage age also causes unintended pregnancy.

A significant relationship was also observed between the desired number of children and unintended pregnancy which supported the finding of Yazdani<sup>[7]</sup>. Yazdani demonstrated's that the variables "the desired number of children" in two groups of "intended" and "unintended" pregnancies were statistically different from each other. As a matter of fact most mothers intended to have two children without having any gender preferences; while such factors as economic level, levels of life expectations of life amongst people, and



religious belief could affect the the desired number of children.

The relationship between using contraception means and unintended pregnancy was observed to be significant as well, which is confirmed by Khoshe-mehri<sup>[5]</sup>, Yazdani<sup>[7]</sup>, and Rezaeepour et al<sup>[12]</sup>. The findings of Rezaeepour et al. demonstrated that units under study either were not using any contraception means, or were not properly executing the contraception method. Moreover, the main reasons cited by women with unintended pregnancy who visited

the healthcare centers included fear of counter effects, spouse disagreement, and not utilizing contraception methods beforehand.

Considering the statistics and obtained results, many of which are confirmed by other studies, a few predictive measures against unintended pregnancy could be mentioned as educating mothers in regard with appropriate timing for pregnancy. Proper methods of using contraception means, as well as proper contraception mean types at different ages.

## References:

- 1- Abbasi-Shavazi MJ, Hosseini-Chavoshi M. Unintended pregnancies in the Islamic Republic of Iran: levels and correlates. *Asia-Pacific Population Journal*. 2004;19(1):27-38.
- 2- Hosseini M, Qavami B, Salimzadeh Eftekhari ardebili H. Low birth weight and its relationship with unwanted pregnancy: a prospective study. *Journal of Public Health and Institute of Health Research*. 2008;7(1):11-18 (Persian).
- 3- Kafshani O, Sharifirad GH, Hassanzadeh A, et al. Evaluate the relationship between BMI and unintended pregnancy in women , *Journal of Health System Research*. 2012; 3(1):32-35 (Persian).
- 4- Roudi F, Monem A. Unintended pregnancies in the Middle East and North Africa. 2009. available in <http://www.prb.org/Publications/Articles/2009/menaunintendedpregnancies.aspx>
- 5-Khoosheh mehri G, Ebrahim taheri G, Hatami Z, et al. Prevalence of unwanted pregnancy and associated factors among pregnant women attending health centers south of Tehran. *Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences Journal*. 2007;16(59):26-32(Persian).
- 6- Pourheydari M. Khosravi A. Shamaeian razavi N. et al. A comparative study of the prenatal care in the wanted and unwanted pregnancies. *Knowledge & Health, University of Medical Sciences and Health Services anymore*. 2011;(4):9-13 (Persian).
- 7-Yazdani F. Comparison of demographic variables in women with unwanted pregnancies and planning. *Journal of Family Health, Islamic Azad University, Sari*. 2012; 1(2):19-26(Persian).
- 8- Amani F, Bashiri J, Moghaddam nahan N, et al. Logistic regression models were used to investigate the factors influencing unwanted pregnancies. *Qom University of Medical Sciences*. 2012; 4(1):32-36(Persian).

- 9-Azizi A, Amirian F, Pashaei F, et al. Prevalence of unwanted pregnancy and its relationship with health-related quality of life of women triple city of Kermanshah Province. *Journal of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences Journal*. 2011; 14(3):24-29(Persian).
- 10-Asadi Y, Meshkat M, Talei B. The prevalence of unwanted pregnancy and associated factors in pregnant women referred to health centers and hospitals in Mashhad. *Medical Science Journal of Islamic Azad University*. 2007; 3(10): 91-95(Persian).
- 11-Mansouri A, Hosseini S, Dadgar S. Rate of unintended pregnancy and its related factors in women attending Hospital in Mashhad. *Journal of Agricultural Science*. 2009; 16(1):65-71(Persian).
- 12-Rezaeipour M, Taghizadeh Z, Faghihzadeh S, et al. Prevalence and causes unwanted Hospital in patients with positive pregnancy test and provide appropriate solutions. *Journal of Tehran University of Medical Sciences*. 2003; 9(17):24-32(Persian).
- 13-Deyanira G, Leon A. Unwanted Pregnancy and Unsafe Abortion. *Women and Health Learning Package*. Developed by the Network:TUFH Woman and Health Taskforce. 2007; Third edition.
- 14- Obare F, Anke V, Harriet B. Factors associated with Unintended Pregnancy, Poor Birth Outcomes and Post-partum Contraceptive use among HIV-Positive Female adolescents in Kenya. *BMC woman's Health*. 2012: 12-18.
- 15- Sedgh G, Bankole A, Oye-Adeniran B, et al. Unwanted pregnancy and associated factors among Nigerian women. *International Family Planning Perspectives*. 2006:175-184.
- 16- Monea E, Thomas A. Unintended pregnancy and taxpayer spending. *Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health*. 2012;43(2):88-93.
- 17-Adetunji JA. Unintended Childbearing in Developing Countries, Trends, and Determinants, Calverton, Maryland, Macro. *International, Demographic and Health Survey [DHS]*. 1998; 8(8): 46-57.
18. Lin Cu le. Unintended Pregnancy and Contributing factors in Vietnam.(Doctoral dissertation, Tulane University). Reassessing the level of unintended pregnancy and its correlates in Vietnam. *Studies in Family Planning*. 2002: 35(1):15-26.
- 19- Abbasishavazi M, Hosseini chavoshi M, Delavar B. Unwanted pregnancy and its influencing factors in Iran. *The Journal of Reproductive Medicine*. 2003; (1):62-76 (Persian).
- 20- Bongaarts j. Trend in Unwanted Childbearing in the Developing World. *Studies in Family Planning*. 1997;28(4):267-277.
- 21- Abazari F, Arab M, Abbasszadeh A. Relationship of unwanted pregnancy and reproductive behavior in patients referred to hospitals in Kerman. *Journal Reproduction & Infertility*.2002:4(1):39-46 (Persian).