

## Original Article

# Occurrence of Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in Raw and Pasteurized Milk Produced in Rafsanjan, Iran

Fateme Akrami Mohajeri<sup>1,2</sup>, Asieh Amiri<sup>2</sup>, Hossein Khorramdel Azad<sup>2</sup>, Zahra Ahmadi<sup>2</sup>, Zahra Asadollahi<sup>3</sup>, Mohsen Rezaeian<sup>3,4</sup>, Aziz A. Fallah<sup>5</sup>, Seyed Razi Ghalebi<sup>5\*</sup>,

1. Department of Social Medicine, Rafsanjan Medical School, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan, Iran
2. Iranian Research Center for Zoonotic Disease, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran
3. Molecular Medicine Research Center, Rafsanjan University of Medical Science, Rafsanjan, Iran
4. Occupational Environment Research Center, Rafsanjan University of Medical Science, Rafsanjan, Iran
5. Department of Food Hygiene and Quality Control, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Shahrekord University, Shahrekord, Iran
6. Yazd Cardiovascular Research Center, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran

Received: 2015/09/29

Accepted: 2015/12/14

---

### Abstract

**Introduction:** Aflatoxins, known as causative factors of hepatic and extra-hepatic carcinogenesis within humans, are extremely teratogenic, mutagenic, toxic, and carcinogenic compounds.

**Materials & Methods:** This study was undertaken to determine the occurrence of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> (AFM<sub>1</sub>) in 40 raw milk and 47 pasteurized milk samples collected during spring and winter. In order to analyze the samples, the Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) procedure was used. The statistical methods used in this study were based on normal confidence intervals and analysis of variance (ANOVA).

**Results:** Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> was detected in 97.5% of the raw milk ranging from 6.52 to 68.17 ng/l and 95.7% of the pasteurized milk, ranging from 0.8 to 58.13 ng/l. Toxin levels in 10% of the raw milk and 2.1% of the pasteurized milk samples exceeded the Iranian national standard limit i.e. 50 ng/l. Due to seasonal variations, mean concentration of AFM<sub>1</sub> in the samples collected in winter was significantly ( $P < 0.03$ ) higher than those collected in the summer.

**Conclusion:** Large amount of AFM<sub>1</sub> in milk samples might be a potential hazard for the public health. Reducing the levels of AFB<sub>1</sub> in animal feedstuffs can be regarded as the initial step to control the transfer of AFM<sub>1</sub> to humans.

**Keywords:** Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub>; ELISA; Iran; Pasteurized milk; Raw milk

---

\* Corresponding author: Tel: 98 351 5231420 Email: srgh77@gmail.com (S. R. Ghalebi)

## Introduction

Aflatoxins can be produced by toxigenic strains of *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus parasiticus* and rarely by *Aspergillus nomius* in various agricultural products under appropriate conditions of temperature and humidity<sup>(1, 2)</sup>. These are regarded as extremely toxic, mutagenic, carcinogenic and teratogenic compounds that have been implicated as causative agents in human hepatic and extra-hepatic carcinogenesis<sup>(3)</sup>. Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> (AFM<sub>1</sub>) can be detected in the milk obtained from livestock ingested feeds that have been improperly dried<sup>(1)</sup>. Dairy cattle eating contaminated food with AFB<sub>1</sub> may be secreted AFM<sub>1</sub> in their milk<sup>(4, 5)</sup>. Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> is less toxic compared to its parent compound, aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub> categorized as class 2B human carcinogenic by the International Agency for Research on Cancer). Owing to the importance of mycotoxins, specifically aflatoxins, several countries have proposed legal regulations for these toxins in various food products in order to reduce the hazards. These regulations are influenced by the conditions of the country, that may vary from one country to another<sup>(6)</sup>. The permissible level of AFM<sub>1</sub> declared by Institute of Standards and Industrial Research of Iran (ISIRI, 2002) is 50 ng/l, which is Equal to the acceptable level of European Commission.<sup>(7)</sup> Thin-layer chromatography (TLC), high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) are

introduced as a common analytical method in regard with AFM<sub>1</sub> measurement in dairy products. ELISA is the most useful technique due to its velocity, sensitivity, ease of application and cheapness<sup>(8)</sup>. In the present study, the natural occurrence of AFM<sub>1</sub> in raw and pasteurized milk produced in Rafsanjan, Iran during summer and winter was determined for the first time.

## Material and Methods

During the winter and spring 2012, a total of 40 samples of raw milk and 47 samples of pasteurized milk were cluster sampled out of supermarkets and retail outlets in Rafsanjan city of Iran. Rafsanjan is a county in Kerman Province located in southeast of Iran with dry and hot climate. The samples were carried to the laboratory inside an insulated container at about 4 °C and stored at -20 °C in order to analyze AFM<sub>1</sub>.

A competitive enzyme immunoassay by RIDASCREEN® Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> 30/15 (R-Biopharm, Darmstadt, Germany) test kit was used in order to design AFM<sub>1</sub> in the samples. Most of the applied reagents were provided by the kit manufacturer. Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> standard solutions used for creating the calibration curve were at levels of 0, 5, 10, 20, 40 and 80 pg/ml, which all were included in the test kit. ELISA test procedures were performed according to the method described by R- Biopharm, Darmstadt, Germany<sup>(9)</sup>. In order to analyze

the study data, SPSS software (version 18) was utilized based on normal confidence intervals and analysis of variance (ANOVA).

The milk samples were centrifuged at 3500g for 10 min at 10 °C by aspirating via a Pasteur pipette. The top creamy layer of milk was removed, and the fat-free supernatant was directly used in the test.

### Results

The incidence and levels of AFM<sub>1</sub> contamination in raw and pasteurized milk samples are displayed in Table 1. Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> was detected above detectable level in 97.5% (39/40) of raw milk samples,

ranging from 6.52–68.17 ng/l; and 95.7% (45/47) of pasteurized milk samples, ranging from 0.8– 58.13 ng/l. The mean concentration of AFM<sub>1</sub> was significantly higher in raw milk (24.86 ng/l;  $P < 0.001$ ) than in pasteurized milk (13.47 ng/l). The toxin level in 4 (10%) raw milk samples, and 1(2.1%) pasteurized milk sample exceeded the Iranian national standard limit i.e. 50 ng/l. Considering the seasonal variability, the levels of AFM<sub>1</sub> in pasteurized milk and raw milk samples collected in the winter were significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) than those obtained in the spring (Table 2).

**Table1.** Occurrence of aflatoxin M1 in raw and pasteurized milk

Type	Sample tested (n)	Positive samples, n (%)	Min-max (ng/l)	Mean±SD (ng/l)	Exceeded regulation, n (%)
Raw milk	40	39(97.5)	6.52-68.17	24.86±16.36 <sup>x</sup>	4(10)
pasteurized milk	47	45(95.7)	0.8- 58.13	13.47± 14.54 <sup>y</sup>	1(2.1)
Total	87	84(96.5)	0.14- 68.17	18.71 ±16.54	5(5.74)

<sup>a</sup> The ISIRI limit for <sup>a</sup> The ISIRI limit for AFM<sub>1</sub> in milk is 50ng/l.

<sup>x,y</sup> Means ± SD with different letters are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

**Table2.** Occurrence of aflatoxin M1 in raw and pasteurized milk. Comparison between samples obtained in spring and winter

Milk type	Winter		Spring	
	Samples tested, n	Mean ± SD(ng/l)	Samples tested, n	Mean ± SD (ng/l)
Raw milk	20	32.59±18.25 <sup>a</sup>	20	17± 9.6 <sup>b</sup>
Pasteurized milk	25	22± 16	22	3.7±2.8

<sup>a,b</sup> Means ± SD in the same row with different letters are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

### Discussion

Raw milk is mainly produced by traditional industrial dairy farms in Iran. The cows of industrial farms are normally kept in an intensive or semi-intensive system fed with

cultivated fodder, supplements and imported feed. These farms are located in the nearby cities where the marketing, veterinary services and sanitation can be

accessed easily. The milk produced in industrial farms is transferred to the dairy factories under appropriate hygienic conditions. After examining its quality by laboratory of dairy factory, the milk is delivered and used for production of pasteurized milk, cheese, yoghurt and other dairy products. Traditional dairy farming is a common system in Iran, done mostly by farmers in a system of mixed farming, with animals in support of crop production. Crop residues, weeds, wheat, dry bread and barley stubble are regarded as the alternate sources of animal feed. The produced milk in the traditional system is usually sold to the retail outlets, which were sampled in the present study. In a previous survey conducted in Iran (Tajkarimi et al., 2008), the levels of AFM<sub>1</sub> contamination in milk samples were reported to be equal getting obtained from industrial and traditional dairy farms. In another study, no significant difference was detected in AFM<sub>1</sub> regard with contamination between raw and pasteurized milk<sup>(10)</sup>. The disagreement between the present study results and the findings of mentioned studies might be due to the differences in the level of AFB<sub>1</sub> contamination in the consumed feedstuffs. In the area of the current study, dry bread is normally applied as a feedstuff in small and traditional dairy farms. During the storage, the crop is disposed to mould growth and further contamination with aflatoxins. Considering the seasonal variability, the levels of AFM<sub>1</sub> in pasteurized milk and raw milk samples collected in winter were

significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) than those obtained in spring (Table 2). In comparison with the aflatoxins rate during spring, when the green pasture composed the major part of their feeds, the residual level of flatoxin in milk during winter was decreased. As a result, poor feed quality might be relevant to the residual level of aflatoxin in milk. The results of the current study are consistent with those of several studies demonstrating higher levels of AFM<sub>1</sub> contamination in cold seasons comparing to hot ones<sup>(4, 11-13)</sup>.

### Conclusion

Contamination of milk samples with AFM<sub>1</sub> could be regarded as a potential public health problem. Reducing the levels of AFB<sub>1</sub> in animal feedstuffs can be mentioned as the initial approach in order to control the AFM<sub>1</sub> transfer to humans. To this purpose, it is necessary to set stringent regulations on AFB<sub>1</sub> contamination in the animal feed. At the same time, supervision programs should be continuous and widespread in both feed and milk. Ultimately, further studies seem to be needed to estimate the representative intake of AFM<sub>1</sub> in Iran in regard with the occurrence of AFM<sub>1</sub> in various dairy products in Rafsanjan as well as other parts of the country.

### Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

### Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Faculty of Medicine, University of Rafsanjan due to the financial support provided for this study.

## References

1. Rahimi E, Bonyadian M, Rafei M, et al. Occurrence of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in raw milk of five dairy species in Ahvaz, Iran. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*. 2010;48(1):129-31.
2. Akrami Mohajeri F, Ghalebi SR, Rezaeian M, et al. Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> contamination in white and Lighvan cheese marketed in Rafsanjan, Iran. *Food Control*. 2013;33(2):525-7.
3. Heshmati A, Milani JM. Contamination of UHT milk by aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in Iran. *Food Control*. 2010;21(1):19-22.
4. Kamkar A. A study on the occurrence of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in raw milk produced in Sarab city of Iran. *Food Control*. 2005;16(7):593-9.
5. Fallah AA. Assessment of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> contamination in pasteurized and UHT milk marketed in central part of Iran. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*. 2010;48(3):988-91.
6. Nemati M, Mehran MA, Hamed PK, et al. A survey on the occurrence of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in milk samples in Ardabil, Iran. *Food Control*. 2010;21(7):1022-4.
7. ISIRI. Institute of Standard and Industrial Research of Iran. Maximum tolerated limits of mycotoxins in foods and feeds. National Standard No 5925. 2002.
8. Tavakoli HR, Riazipour M, Kamkar A, et al. Occurrence of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in white cheese samples from Tehran, Iran. *Food Control*. 2012;23(1):293-5.
9. R-Biopharm, GmbH Darmstadt, Germany. Enzyme immunoassay for the quantitative analysis of aflatoxins. Ridascreen Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> Art No: R1121. 2012.
10. Mohammadian B, Khezri M, Ghasemipour N, et al. Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> contamination of raw and pasteurized milk produced in Sanandaj, Iran. *Archives of Razi*. 2010;65(2):99-104.
11. Dashti B, Al-Hamli S, Alomirah H, et al. Levels of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in milk, cheese consumed in Kuwait and occurrence of total aflatoxin in local and imported animal feed. *Food Control*. 2009;20(7):686-90.
12. Fallah AA, Rahnema M, Jafari T, et al. Seasonal variation of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> contamination in industrial and traditional Iranian dairy products. *Food Control*. 2011;22(10):1653-6.
13. Ruangwises N, Ruangwises S. Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> Contamination in Raw Milk within the Central Region of Thailand. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*. 2010;85(2):195-8.